| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | Application No.                    | Applicant(s)  |  |
|--|------------------------------------|---|--|
|  | 10/064,166                         | HARMS ET AL.  |  |
|  | Examiner                           | Art Unit  |  |
|  | Victor K. Hwang                    | 3764  |  |
| All Participants:  | Status of Application:             |   |  |
| (1) Victor K. Hwang.   | (3)                                |   |  |
| (2) <u>Joseph England</u> .  | (4)                                |   |  |
| Date of Interview: 18 March 2005   | Time:                              |   |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   |                                    |   |  |
| Part I.  |                                    |   |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed:  |                                    |   |  |
|  |                                    |   |  |
| Claims discussed:  |                                    |   |  |
| 1-24   |                                    |   |  |
| Prior art documents discussed:   |                                    |   |  |
| Down III   |                                    |   |  |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:   |                                    |   |  |
| See Continuation Sheet   |                                    |   |  |
| Part III.  |                                    |   |  |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul> |                                    |   |  |
|  |                                    |   |  |
|  |                                    |   |  |
|  |                                    |   |  |
|  |                                    |   |  |
|  |                                    |   |  |
|  |                                    |   |  |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl   | icant/Applicant's Representative S | nt/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) |  |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Initial interview of March 07, 2005 for restriction requirement and to clarify claims 17-24. Subsequent interview to propose that claims 12-17 be amended since they were considered to be allowable. Additionally, claims 22-24 to the method of forming the weights and barbell would be allowable. A subsequent search found prior art that is applied in this Office action and the indicated allowability is withdrawn...